

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, (ECF No. 22), which recommends that Plaintiff U.S. Bank, N.A.'s Motion for Default Judgment (ECF No. 21) be **DENIED** and that Plaintiff's claims be dismissed.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1–4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3–2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3–2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all … of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

1 Accordingly,


2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 22) is
3 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** to the extent that it is not inconsistent with this Order.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff U.S. Bank, N.A.'s Motion for Default
5 Judgment (ECF No. 21) is **DENIED**.

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's claims be dismissed with prejudice.

7 The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

8 **DATED** this 30th day of January, 2015.

9
10
11 

12 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25